

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRR 198 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 13, 2015

Harvinder Singh @ Thandu Ram and anr.

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

**Present:- Mr.Amit Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. A.K. Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Sukhtej Singh, Advocate for the complainant.**

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Complainant Neelam Rani present in the Court has stated that the matter has been compromised vide annexure P-1.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of this case. Petitioner/ convicts and the complainant being of same village have entered into compromise.

In view of the said circumstances, the parties can be permitted to compound the offences at this stage. In this context, reference can be made to the following judgments:-

- i) **Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2014 (2) RCR (CrL.) 482;
- ii) **Amarjot Singh Vs. UT Chandigarh and anr.**, 20-14 (5) Law Herald (P&H) 4499.

In view of the compromise annexure P-1 affirmed by the complainant victim, sentence of imprisonment of the petitioners is reduced as follows:-

Sections	Sentence	Reduced
452/34 IPC	RI for a period of 3 years.	Sentence already undergone.
506/34 IPC	RI for a period of six months	Sentence already undergone.
354/34 IPC	RI for a period of two years.	Sentence already undergone.
323/34 IPC	RI for a period of six months	Sentence already undergone.

However, for the unnecessary harassment the complainant is granted additional compensation of Rs.30000/-. Sum of Rs.20000/- already paid as fine is upheld. The sum of Rs.30000/- will be paid by the petitioners to the extent of Rs.15000/- each to the complainant in the shape of bank draft to be deposited with the convicting Court before the release of the petitioners.

With the above modification the revision petition is disposed of.

February 13, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE