

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 1840 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.03.2015.

Brij Bhushan @ Bobby

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Bhullar, AAG, Punjab, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Sunil Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Rawat, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

NAVITA SINGH, J.

The present petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the judgment dated 03.04.2014 passed by Sessions Judge, Pathankot, whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 08.11.2011 passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot, convicting and sentencing him for the offences under Sections 279 and 304-A of the Indian Penal Code, was dismissed.

During the pendency of revision petition, the petitioner entered into a compromise with the legal heirs and representatives of deceased Fakir Chand and filed CRM No. 37711 of 2014 for placing on record compromise (Annexure P-1) effected between the parties. Thereafter, vide order dated 11.12.2014, this Court directed the parties to be present before the Illaqa Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to

compromise.

Report is received from District and Sessions Judge, Pathankot, forwarding the report of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot, that the matter has been settled between the parties and joint statement of the petitioner as also the legal heirs of deceased Fakir Chand has been recorded. Satisfaction is recorded regarding voluntary compromise.

It has been stated by counsel for the parties that the parties have compromised the matter.

So, in view of the above circumstances and in view of authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the revision petition is allowed in terms of compromise (Annexure P-1) and the judgment passed by the Courts below are set aside. The petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

17.03.2015
Ramesh