

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.01.14 15:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRR No. 1790 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.1.2015

Paramjit Singh @ Bittu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Revisionist was convicted under Section 420 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for two years and fine of Rs. 2,000/-, in default thereof, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for two months, vide judgment and order dated 26.3.2013, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kapurthala. The appeal was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala, vide order dated 3.5.2014.

The present revision was pressed only on the quantum of sentence.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist on the quantum of sentence and have also gone through the judgments of two Courts below.

The allegations against the revisionist are that he alongwith co-accused obtained Rs. 4.50 lacs for sending one Karamjit Singh to Italy. Neither the money was returned nor the said person was sent to Italy. In this way, the present revisionist is stated to have misappropriated the money. The said money has not been returned so far. It being so, there is no ground to show any leniency to the revisionist.

Accordingly, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.1.2015
sjks