

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1709 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision:16.02.2015

Seepa SinghPetitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Tewari

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.AG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J. (Oral):

This petition has been filed against concurrent conviction of the petitioner under Sections 279, 337 and 338 IPC. He was sentenced as under:-

Sr.No.	Under Section	Sentence awarded	In default
1	279 IPC	RI for six months with fine of Rs. 500/-	RI for 15 days
2	338 IPC	RI for one year with fine of Rs. 500/-	RI for one month
3	337 IPC	RI for six months with fine of Rs. 500/-	RI for 15 days

All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, while driving his motor cycle in a rash and negligent manner, caused simple as well as grievous injuries to Makhan Singh.

Custody certificate by way of affidavit of Balkar Singh Bhullar, Deputy Superintendent, Modern Central Jail, Faridkot has been filed and the same is taken on record. As per custody certificate the petitioner has undergone actual sentence of 9 months and 6 days out of total sentence of one year.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that he does not press this petition on merits but only prays that the sentence of the petitioner be reduced to that already undergone by him during the continuation of these criminal proceedings. Learned Addl.AG has stated that she has no objection if the sentence of the petitioner is reduced to that already undergone by him .

In the circumstances, while maintaining the conviction, the sentence of the petitioner is reduced to that already undergone by him. He be released forthwith if not required in any other case.

Petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 16, 2015
sunita

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No. 4266 of 2014
Date of Decision:16.02.2016**

Iqbal and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Tewari

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

4. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
5. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
6. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Ajay Tewari, J. (Oral):

This petition has been filed against concurrent conviction of the petitioner under Section 4A read with Section 8 of the Cow Slaughter Act and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for six months.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were taking thin and feeble cows to Rajasthan for the purposes of slaughtering. On the other hand the defence of the petitioners is that the cows belonged to one Pirthi Singh who had taken them on superdari.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that he does not press this petition on merits but only prays that the sentence of the petitioner be reduced to that already undergone by them during the continuation of these criminal proceedings. He has argued that out of total sentence of six months the petitioners have already undergone more than two months till

now. Learned DAG has stated that he has no objection if the sentence of the petitioners is reduced to that already undergone by him .

In the circumstances, while maintaining the conviction, the sentence of the petitioners is reduced to that already undergone by him. They be released forthwith if not required in any other case.

Petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 16, 2015
sunita