

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRR-1498-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.7.2015

Sanjeev Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Dhir, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint has been dismissed. Present revision petition has, therefore, been rendered infructuous.

In view of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Since the main petition has been dismissed as infructuous, no order is required to be passed on application under section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

20.7.2015
'Rajpal'