

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.121 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 15, 2015**

Balwinder Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Present revisionist was convicted by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sri Muktsar Sahib under Section 406 IPC and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 2½ years and to pay a fine of ₹1,000/-, in default thereof to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 15 days vide judgment and order dated 17.04.2012. The appeal was dismissed by learned Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib. However, the sentence was reduced to one year.

Aggrieved with the said judgment, the revisionist has come up in the present revision petition. The allegations in the present case are of misappropriation of 19429.55 quintals of paddy.

Learned counsel for the revisionist has confined his prayer only to the quantum of sentence.

The misappropriated paddy has not been returned so far.
I am of the view that sufficient leniency has already been shown to the revisionist. Therefore, no further leniency on the quantum of sentence is possible.

Hence, dismissed.

January 15, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE