

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 10099 of 2012

DATE OF DECISION: 21.05.2015

Manfool Singh Arya

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that as per policy of the respondent-Corporation, an amount of interest has been waived off, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has deposited the amount of loan under protest and he is also entitled for waiving off the loan amount as the loan amount of other similarly situated persons has also been waived off in view of policy dated 4.9.2014.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that loan amount of those persons has been waived off against whom loan amount

was outstanding but in the case of the petitioner, no loan amount was outstanding.

In view of the above, nothing remains in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail an appropriate remedy in case the loan of other similarly situated persons has been waived off.

May 21, 2015  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**