

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 12604 of 2011(O&M)

Date of decision : 04.05.2015

Rajesh Bharti

..... Petitioner

versus

Dakshin Haryana Vidyut Praaran Nigam Ltd

and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Hari Om Sharma , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The surviving claim in this writ petition is for some arrears of subsistence allowance. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that along with the replication the petitioner has filed copy of a representation(Annexure P-4) made by him to the respondents and prays that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.1 to decide the said representation within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation (Annexure

P-4) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon. In case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed, to grant the same to him within the aforesaid period. It is made clear that if any due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the aforesaid period he would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date of payment.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 04, 2015
sunita