

CRR-3690-2013

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-3690-2013

Date of Decision: 07.05.2015

Nand Kishore

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Manish Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chandumajra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S.Narula, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to raise all the pleas before the trial Court. However, he prays that personal appearance of petitioner may be exempted before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

Keeping in view the fact that case is pending since long personal appearance of the petitioner is exempted before the trial Court, subject to his furnishing an undertaking before the trial Court that his counsel shall be present on his behalf and the petitioner will not raise

CRR-3690-2013

any objection qua the evidence/proceeding that may be recorded/commenced during his absence and would be present in trial Court as and when so directed.

Learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar is directed to ensure that Complaint No.RBT 204 dated 02.05.2008, titled 'Nand Kishore vs. Ramesh Kapoor', under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act and criminal case titled 'State vs. Nand Kishore', FIR No.285 dated 24.05.2008, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar, under Sections 380/465/467/468/474/342 of the Indian Penal Code, should be tried together by one Court in view of their facts and circumstances.

07.05.2015
parveen kumar

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE