

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.3122 of 2015 in/and
C.W.P. No.13719 of 2010
Date of Decision : 31.03.2015**

Mukesh Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Goyal, A.A.G., Haryana.

None for respondent No.4.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra on the ground that vide Haryana Government Gazette(Extra) School Education Department Notification dated 07.05.2013, the appeals of the employees of aided/unaided schools against the orders of the management are to be heard by the Tribunals which have been notified by the High Court in the light of the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *TMA Pai*

Foundation and others Vs. State of Karnataka 2002(8) SCC 481.

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed. Without expression of any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file an appeal before the Competent authority which appears to be Court of District/Additional District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, within a period of 15 days after the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The appeal if filed within the abovesaid period, would be entertained by the concerned authority.

It will be appreciated in case the appeal is considered expeditiously within a period of six months.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 31, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**