

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12303 of 2011
Date of decision: 22.04.2015

Inderjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. CL Pawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing respondent No.2, to take appropriate action against respondent No.4, for misbehaving with the petitioner and passing sarcastic remarks against her character.

Records show that to probe the allegations levelled against respondent no.4 i.e. Professor Harsh Mehta, an inquiry was initiated against him and pursuant to the inquiry report submitted by Director Public Instructions (Colleges) Punjab, the charges levelled against respondent No.4 were found to have been proved. As a result, his two increments were ordered to be stopped with cumulative effect vide order dated 05.03.2014 (Annexure R1).

That being so, learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that, nothing substantive survives in this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that as the petition has become infructuous, the same be dismissed as such.

Ordered, accordingly.

April 22, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge