

120 CRM No.156 of 2015 in
CRWP No.830 of 2015

Santokh Singh Vs. State of Punjab anr.

Present: Mr. T.P.S. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Prayer is for correction of nomenclature of respondent No.3. Learned counsel states that inadvertently in place of Superintendent Jail Kapurthala, Superintendent Jail Roopnagar has been mentioned in the memo of parties. In fact son of petitioner is under going imprisonment at Central Jail Jalandhar at Kapurthala.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to file reply.

Nomenclature of respondent No.3 is ordered to be corrected and now it should be read as Superintendent Central Jail Jalandhar at Kapurthala.

Amended memo is taken on record.

Disposed of.

June 4, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE