

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc.No.M-9901 of 2015

Date of Decision : 20.7.2015

Darshpreet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.J.S.Hundal, AAG, Punjab.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

In this case on 26.3.2015 notice of motion was issued for 9.4.2015 and the petitioner was directed to implead the complainant as party respondent. Consequently, on 7.4.2015 the application filed by the petitioner for impleading the complainant was allowed and notice was ordered to be issued to him for the date fixed i.e. 9.4.2015. On 9.4.2015, the office reported that notice to the newly added respondent could not be issued for want of copy of the petition for the said respondent and learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to do the needful within a period of one week from that day and notice was directed to be issued to the newly added respondent for 7.5.2015, on which date

learned counsel for the petitioner circulated a written request and the case was adjourned to 3.7.2015. On 3.7.2015 no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the case was adjourned to today.

Today also, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

20.7.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE