

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-9862 of 2015
Date of decision : April 10, 2015

Swapnil Prakash

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Gupta KKR, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 304 dated 16.08.2014, registered at Police Station Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon under Section 406, 420 IPC.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is a change in the circumstances and when the first bail application was dismissed the challan had not been filed.

Learned State counsel informs that the challan had been filed on 24.01.2015 and the bail application had been decided on 04.02.2015.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the other change is the discovery of Annexure P-4, which came to their notice subsequently. He urges that in this complaint the management has shifted the entire blame upon Chetan Bhola, which was not the case earlier.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no change in

the circumstances. A copy of the challan had been supplied in January 2015 itself and the bail application had been dismissed on 04.02.2015. He further states that petitioner is the main accused and arrest warrants have been issued against Chetan Bhola.

The bail application on merits had been dismissed on 04.02.2015. There is no change in the circumstances. The petition is dismissed.

April 10, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**