

Crl. Misc. No. M-9738 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9738-2015

DATE OF DECISION:07.04.2015

Hapreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: - Mr. S.K. Sharma Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This is a petition seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No.4 to 9.

On 26.03.2015, following order was passed by this Court:

“Instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No.4 to 9. The petitioners assert that they are major and as there is no legal

impediment, they have got married.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, after seeking instructions from petitioner No.2 states that in order to show his bonafide, petitioner No.2 will deposit Rs.50,000/- in the form of FDR for two years in favour of petitioner No.1 within a period of ten days. An affidavit of petitioner No.2 with regard to deposit of aforesaid amount has been filed in Court which is taken on record. The FDR will be for two years and will not be encashed prematurely.

Notice of motion for 7.4.2015.

Even if the process fee is not deposited by the counsel for the petitioners, the Registry shall issue notice to the respondents at its own. Respondent No.3 – Station House Officer, Police Station Machhiwara, District Ludhaiana is directed to effect service upon private respondents No.4 to 9. However, the SHO will ensure that no inconvenience and

embarrassment is caused to them and for that purpose, official going for service may preferably be in civil uniform.

In the meantime, petitioners shall not be arrested in this case. Petitioners will be at liberty to move appropriate representation for protection before the concerned police authorities. However, this will not prevent the concerned police authorities to proceed against the petitioners in accordance with law, if they are found involved in any other case.

It is made clear that this order is qua protection only and shall not be construed that the petitioners have contracted legal marriage. They have to prove legality of their marriage in appropriate proceedings.

The petitioners will also be at liberty to approach the concerned police authorities for protection as per instructions issued by the Home

Crl. Misc. No. M-9738 of 2015

Department, Punjab in this regard. If required, petitioners will be provided adequate security.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in compliance of order dated 26.03.2015, petitioner No.2 has deposited the amount of Rs.50,000/- in a fixed deposit for two years in favour of petitioner No.1. Photocopy of receipt filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Keeping in view the above, the order dated 26.03.2015 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

April 07, 2015
prince

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge