

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.9720 of 2015

Date of decision: 26th May, 2015

Gurpreet Singh @ Gogi and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. J.P.S. Sarao, Advocate
for the petitioners.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 20.04.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patiala has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Gurcharan Singh, accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and that of Nirmal Singh and Roop Singh @ Joginder Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being purely a property dispute, the fact that parties belong to the same very village and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in resolving the personal dispute and in bringing about peace and harmony, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.73 dated 27.10.2011 registered at Police Station Bakhshiwala, Patiala (Punjab) under Sections 323/324/506/34 IPC and all consequences arising thereof are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2015
rps