

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-9717 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 30,2015**

Raj Kumar & Ors.

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioners are seeking directions to official respondents No.2 and 3 to ensure protection to their life and liberty which is stated to be under imminent danger from respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that representation (Annexure P-2) was made in this regard, but no action has been taken thereupon. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) and decide the same in accordance with law.

**March 30, 2015
Jiten**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**