

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.9677 of 2015

Date of decision: 26th May, 2015

Smriti Sharma and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 and 5.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has placed on record reply on behalf of the official respondents, whereby statements of the petitioners were recorded before the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala and detailed in the reply by way of affidavit of Pankhuri Kumar, HPS, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Ambala, reflects that the threat perception to lives and personal liberty of the petitioners no more subsists.

Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, the petition stands disposed off having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court in case any such eventuality so arises.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2015
rps