

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9737-2014

Date of Decision: December 21, 2015

Girdhari Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.D.S.Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr.L.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.P.s.Paul, DAG, Punjab.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner states at bar that he has the instructions to submit that the petitioner has since died, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State submits that he is not in a position to controvert the above submission.

Ordered accordingly.

December 21, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE