

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.9595 of 2015
Date of Decision: 24.03.2015

RAHUL AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Kainth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 16.03.2015 at Shri Sanatan Dharam Sabha (Regd.) Radha Krishna Mandir, Ganj, Shimla. Marriage certificate has been annexed as (Annexure P-4) along with photographs of marriage have been annexed as (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have moved a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken so far.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot -respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-5) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

March 24, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE