

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-950-M-2015
Date of decision: 14.9.2015

Bal Kishan Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, while referring to the averments taken in para 5 of the affidavit dated 1.8.2015 filed by the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat, submits that sincere efforts have already been made, while conducting effective investigation, but no clue of the missing person could be found. Untraced report has been prepared which shall be presented to the learned court of competent jurisdiction in near future. He further submits that since the investigation has been concluded, let the present petition be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above-said statement made by the learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail his remedies, in accordance with law, including filing of protest petition against the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., to be presented by the investigating agency before the learned court of competent jurisdiction.

Disposed of, accordingly.

14.9.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE