

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-9440 of 2015
Date of Decision:-02.7.2015**

Lakhbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he will be satisfied if the representation dated 4.3.2015 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner is considered by respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the contents of the representation (Annexure P-1) and take remedial measures in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

July 02, 2015
Vijay Asija

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**