

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9423 of 2015
Date of Decision: 10.04.2015

Shingara SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.K. Gupta, AAG, Punjab

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a cross case, having DDR No. 19 dated 17.01.2015, under Sections 326 and 323 Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station, Beas, District Amritsar Rural, to the First Information Report No.6 dated 14.01.2015 under Sections 323, 325, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station, Beas, District Amritsar.

2. Precisely, the accusation against the petitioner is that he caused grievous injury with a sharp edged weapon to Harmeet Singh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that First Information Report No.06 dated 14.01.2015 was registered against the complainant party as well on the statement of the petitioner. He also submitted that

the altercation had taken place when some persons had arrived to remove the encroachment made on the public street by the complainant party, in pursuance of order of Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Rayya dated 10.03.2015.

3. No doubt, it is a case of cross version, but the allegation against the petitioner is serious as the complainant had suffered grievous injuries with a sharp edged weapon at his hands. The said weapon is yet to be recovered.

Thus, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and least any expression above may prejudice the case of either side, in my considered opinion, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail and the instant petition is dismissed.

April 10, 2015
rashmi

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE