

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Crl. Misc. No. M-9348 of 2015
Date of Decision:-27.3.2015**

Amarjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.34 dated 27.2.2013, under Sections 363, 366-A, 376 and 511 IPC read with Sections 3/4/5/7 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, registered at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of petitioner Amarjit Kaur, wherein she has shown to have undergone 2 years and 25 days.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 2.2.2015 passed in Criminal Misc. No. M-941 of 2015 in case titled 'Vijay Singh vs. State of Punjab' and order dated 17.3.2015 passed in Criminal

Misc. No. M-6402 of 2015 in case titled 'Gurjant Singh vs. State of Punjab' and states that the co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted regular bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Nachhatar Singh does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

The petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.2.2013. No useful purpose would be served to further detain him in the jail. There is no history of his previous involvement in any other criminal case. Conclusion of trial will take some more time.

In the light of aforesaid reasons, taking into consideration the peculiar facts and the circumstances, emanating from the record, as discussed here-in-above and without commenting further anything on merits, lest it may prejudice the case of either side during the course of trial of the main case, the instant petition for regular bail is hereby accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Needless to mention that, nothing observed here-in-above would reflect, on merits in the trial of the main case, in any manner, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

March 27, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE