

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-9400 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.09.2015**

Reena

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Dharambir

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

CRM No.30531 of 2015

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and accompanying documents (Annexure P-4 to P-7) are allowed to be taken on record.

CRM No.M-9400 of 2014

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 05.09.2013 (Annexure P-1) and modifying the order dated 08.06.2012 (Annexure P-2) by enhancing the interim maintenance awarded to the petitioner.

Heard.

The petition filed by the petitioner under Section 125 Cr.P.C. seeking interim maintenance had been allowed by the Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak. A revision was carried before the Sessions Judge, Rohtak by

Dharambir respondent. The Sessions Judge noted that the marriage has been dissolved by the mutual consent and the wife had been paid lump sum amount of Rs.9 lacs on account of dowry articles including maintenance. The parties had agreed that they would not institute any further proceedings against each other.

On the last date of hearing, the petitioner was asked to place on record copy of the petition filed under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act as well as the statements given by them. Those have been filed vide CRM-30531-2014.

A perusal of the petition as well as the statements made by the parties clearly show that a lump sum amount had been accepted by the petitioner-wife and it was agreed that no further payment was to be made on any account. In that light of the matter, I find that there is no merit in the petition.

The petition is dismissed in limine.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

17.09.2015
sunil