

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

Crl. Revision 2582 of 2013
Date of decision: 27.01.2015

Jagsir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sham Lal

.....Respondent(s)

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested to pursue his remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

27.01.2015
mohan