

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.202-3

CWP No.6853 of 2006

Dr. Amit Halder

vs.

Punjab Engineering College (Deemed University) and others

Present: Mr. Rajiv Atma Ram, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

Mr. N.R. Dahiya, Advocate
for respondent No.9.

* * *

Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the petitioner has been promoted as a Professor w.e.f. 01.08.1999 and in view of this fact he does not press the present petition. However, he further states that since the petitioner has been promoted retrospectively w.e.f. 01.08.1999, he be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondent-Punjab Engineering College (hereinafter referred to as the 'College') claiming arrears as resulting from such retrospective promotion.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed by giving liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the respondent-College, claiming arrears resulting from his retrospective promotion. If any such representation is made by the petitioner, the

same shall be considered by the respondent-College by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

If the promotion of the petitioner with retrospective date has adversely effected the rights of any of the private respondents, they would be at liberty to challenge such action in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2015
Jyoti 1