

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-923-2015

Decided on : 09.04.2015

Sandeep

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.P.K.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.86, dated 12.03.2011, under Sections 364-A, 392, 120-B, 216 and 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

On account of being absent on 11.11.2013, the trial Court has declared the petitioner as proclaimed offender vide order dated 16.04.2014. Consequently, he was arrested by the police on 01.10.2014 and since then, he is in custody.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that absence from the proceedings on 11.11.2013 was on account of some family problems.

Considering the fact that the petitioner was otherwise attending the proceedings regularly and he was absent only on 11.11.2013, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail in case FIR No.86, dated 12.03.2011, under Sections 364-A, 392, 120-B, 216 and 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar subject to his furnishing bail-surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

09.04.2015
sd