

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-9012 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.08.2015**

Varinder Singh @ Sonu Kalsi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

CRM-24670-2015:

This is an application to place on record the statements of witnesses, as directed by this Court vide order dated 25.3.2015.

The application is allowed and the documents Annexures P-7 to P-11 are taken on record.

Criminal Misc. No. M-9012 of 2015:

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 CrPC is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner namely Varinder Singh @ Sonu Kalsi in case FIR No.116 dated 12.8.2014 under

Sections 493, 376, 506, 406 IPC registered at Police Station Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are not only false but an attempt to extract money from the petitioner. He submits that some similar allegations were levelled against one Malkiat Singh son of Jarnail and the prosecutrix has entered into an agreement with him after receiving Rs.5 lacs. The allegation against the petitioner that he has concealed the fact of earlier marriage is wrong and the details of chatting (Annexure P1) between the petitioner and respondent is an answer to that. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.8.2014.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Raj Pal submits that as against the total 10 witnesses, 7 witnesses have already been examined and the case is now fixed for 13.8.2015.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 13.8.2014 and the trial has not yet concluded, though most of the witnesses have been examined, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail during the pendency of trial. Accordingly, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail, subject to his furnishing of bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, it is made clear that the observations made

here-in-above shall not be construed any expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence as available on record.

The petition is disposed of.

August 10, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE