

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8980 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.04.2015**

Gurlal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

There was no representation on behalf the petitioners even on the previous date.

Learned State counsel submits that after filing of the instant petition charges have also been framed by the trial Court on 08.12.2012.

The instant petition is, therefore, disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the order framing charge, in the appropriate proceedings.

07.04.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge