

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8903-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:24.04.2015

Kuldip Lal and ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in a case registered against them vide FIR No.22 dated 01.03.2015 under Sections 452/427/506/148/149 IPC and Section 457 IPC added later on at Police Station Bullowal, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for petitioners asserts that petitioners have been falsely implicated and no injury was caused in the incident. Thus, they are entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer.

Heard.

A complaint was lodged by one Balbir Singh. He alleged that on February 26, 2015 at about 8.30 p.m., the accused broke into the house of complainant by climbing the wall of the house. They were armed with various weapons. After entering the house, they broke the windows, doors, ventilators and other things. Family

members of the complainant saved themselves by bolting the doors of their rooms in the house. Petitioners were allegedly armed with *gandasa, kirpan, datar and danda*.

In view of natures of allegations, offence under Section 457 IPC has also been added by the investigating agency, I am of the considered view that petitioners are not entitled to discretionary relief of pre-arrest bail. No merits.

Dismissed.

24.04.2015
sukhpreet

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE