

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8840 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.03.2015

Rahul

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

1. ***Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
2. ***To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
3. ***Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (Oral).

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.102 dated 01.12.2014, registered under Sections 399, 402, 411 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station GRP Panipat.

The offence alleged in the FIR is in respect of making preparation to commit dacoity. The FIR was registered on the basis of secret information to the effect that 5 boys were standing behind

CRM-M No.8840 of 2015 (O&M)

Mall Godown shed and were preparing to commit dacoity in a train. Information was treated to be worth acceptance and FIR was registered. Raid was conducted and accused were arrested. A *Danda* is shown to have been recovered from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that only a *Danda* is alleged to have been recovered from the petitioner which can not be treated as weapon. The petitioner has no antecedent behavior of any criminal activity in the past.

Learned Addl. A.G., Haryana on instructions from ASI Tara Chand, states that the petitioner is not involved in any other case. Challan has already been presented and now case is fixed for 04.05.2015 for prosecution evidence.

Keeping in view, the period of custody of the petitioner and trial may take some time to conclude, the petitioner can be granted regular bail.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Let the petitioner be enlarged on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

[Raj Mohan Singh]
Judge

27.03.2015
sachin