

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8812 of 2015

Date of decision: 6th July, 2015

Uma Kumari and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for the remaining respondents.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has placed on record reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Deepak Ghalawat, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tauru on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 whereby statements of the petitioners as well as mother of petitioner No.2 (Annexures R1 to R3) have also been annexed, wherein they have stated that threat to their life and liberty does not persist and thus, the matter has been rendered infructuous.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the statement made on behalf of the State, the petition stands dismissed having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach this Court if the need so arises.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 6, 2015
rps