

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-8810 of 2015
Date of decision : 28.05.2015**

Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for petitioner.**

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG Haryana.

None for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This is second petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No.222 dated 20.08.2013, registered under Sections 379 and 406 IPC. Subsequently, charge under Sections 392, 406, 212 and 120-B IPC was framed.

Heard.

The counsel for the petitioner contends that the investigation stage is over and all the private witnesses have been examined and it is a Magistrate triable case and the trial is taking time and bail may be allowed.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner in order to put pressure on the complainant side prepared a suicide note and left it at the banks of canal and went missing for ten months.

Counsel for the petitioner states that no such fact was mentioned in the report submitted under Section 173 Cr.P.C.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

May 28, 2015
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE