

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12198 of 2010
Date of decision: 02.03.2015

Akil Ahmad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Sandhir, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajeev Mishra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that with afflux of time, the very cause as a result of which the instant petition was instituted has since become infructuous, the petition be dismissed as such.

Petition dismissed, as infructuous.

March 2, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge