

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRR-1933-2013

Date of decision: November 18, 2015

Bhag Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurdev Singh & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

The present revision petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 18.11.2010, passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana as well as order dated 19.02.2013, passed by Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, whereby respondent No.1 was acquitted.

Respondent Gurdev Singh was tried in case FIR No.63 dated 09.05.2005, registered at Police Station Dakha Ludhiana, under sections 379/427 IPC.

Complainant Bhag Singh has filed the instant revision petition against acquittal of Gurdev Singh respondent.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

I find no infirmity in the judgment of the trial court whereby the respondents No.1 has been acquitted. Appeal filed by the petitioner against the judgment of acquittal has also been dismissed by Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, vide order dated 19.2.2013. Findings of the

courts below cannot be said to be perverse. Admittedly, no appeal has been preferred by the State. Scope of interference in an order of acquittal in a revision filed by the complainant is very limited, particularly when no demonstrable perversity is apparent on the record. Learned counsel has not been able to pin point any flaw which would call for interference by this court while invoking revisional jurisdiction. It is well settled that unless any legal infirmity in the procedure or in the conduct of trial is pointed out, the revisional court will not interfere.

I find no merit in the instant revision petition to interfere while exercising revisional jurisdiction. The revision petition is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

November 18, 2015
'Rajpal'