

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,  
AT CHANDIGARH

212

**CRM-M-8612 of 2015**

Decided on : 27.07.2015

*Kanwaljit Singh*

*... Petitioner*

*Versus*

*State of Punjab*

*... Respondent*

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.  
Mr.S.S.Kainth, Advocate  
for the complainant.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439, Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.134, dated 3.12.2014, under Section 364/34, IPC (Section 366 IPC added later on vide order dated 19.5.2015) registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, Amritsar (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner and complainant namely, Amandeep Kaur have approached this Court by way of **CRM-M-41630 of 2014** seeking protection of their life and liberty. However, Amandeep Kaur was not allowed to appear in the Court and was taken away from High Court premises by her relatives. The record of the said petition was summoned which shows that joint petition filed by the petitioner and complainant, Amandeep Kaur was duly signed by them.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant

submits that in the present case, complainant-Amandeep Kaur has suffered a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. against the petitioner. Even the charges have been framed and in the trial, the complainant has been examined, and she corroborated her version as stated in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jung Bahadur states that out of total 12 witnesses, 05 have been examined and the case is now fixed for 3.8.2015.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that initially, the petitioner as well the complainant have approached this court for seeking protection of their lives and liberty and the allegation made in the FIR are yet to be established in the trial court, the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

In view of the aforesaid facts, the present petition is accepted and the petitioner is allowed to be admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court, Amrtisar

Nothing stated herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the learned trial Court shall consider the case on the basis of evidence and material as available before it.

**[ Hari Pal Verma ]**  
**Judge**

27.07.2015  
sd