

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revision 1913 of 2013(O&M)

Date of Decision : March 26, 2015

Kamlesh Kumari

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN**

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Additional A.G. Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

T.P.S. MANN, J.(Oral)

The complainant has filed the present revision under Section 401 Cr.P.C. for challenging the order dated 22.5.2013 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, whereby application filed by her under Section 311 Cr.P.C. for examining ASI Sube Singh as a witness in her evidence, was dismissed.

The revision had come up for preliminary hearing on 10.6.2013 when notice of motion was issued. Both the respondents were duly served. However, subsequent to issuance of notice, the revision was taken up for hearing on 2.7.2013, 31.7.2013, 21.8.2013, 29.10.2013, 28.1.2014, 1.4.2014, 7.5.2014, 5.8.2014, 29.8.2014 and 22.12.2014 but on none of those hearings any one had put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The position is the same even today.

It is undisputed that the trial of the case has concluded on 31.7.2013 with the acquittal of the accused/respondents. Consequently, the present revision stood rendered infructuous. It may be for the said reason that none had been putting in appearance on behalf of the petitioner on any of the aforementioned dates and also today.

The revision is, hereby, disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

**(MAHAVIR S. CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

March 26, 2015
ajay-1