

111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.8525 of 2015
Date of Decision: 17.03.2015

KHERUNI @ KHERUNA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 14

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have performed marriage on 25.09.2014 at village Nagovan, Tehsil Kama, District Alwar. Copy of marriage certificate issued by Maulvi has been annexed as (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel states that the petitioners have moved a representation to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) (Annexure P-5) but no action has been taken so far.

Without commenting upon validity of the marriage of the petitioners this Court is of the view that let respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) look into the matter and take appropriate steps in protecting life and liberty of the petitioners who feel reasonable apprehension against the private respondents. The reasonable apprehension of the petitioners has some reasonable nexus with the past matrimonial status of petitioner No.1.

In view of this, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat) would be obligated to take all remedial steps, if required in the context of providing protection to the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

March 17, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE