

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10636-2011(O&M)
Date of Decision:07.07.2015

SATYA PAL AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Pankaj Maini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Shruti Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.P.S.Poonia, Advocate for respondents No. 3 to 6.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this writ petition the petitioners had claimed that they being field staff were being put at an unfair disadvantage vis-a-vis the head office staff of all the four power utilities of Haryana and had prayed that those disparities be removed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that along with CM No. 16651 of 2013 the petitioners have placed on record various decisions of the Board of Directors of all the four power utilities of Haryana whereby the following decisions have been taken:-

- i) the difference in nomenclature has been done away with;

ii) the pay anomalies have been removed and pay has been equated;

iii) the field cadre has been declared to be the dying cadre and it has been decided that in future all the ministerial staff would form one common cadre with a common seniority.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of these developments the present petition has been rendered infructuous. He states that though these decisions have been taken by the different Boards, yet the relief has not been granted and prays that the only consequential direction which is required is to direct the respondents to take a decision regarding the implementation of the resolution of the Board of Directors.

In these circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take a decision regarding the implementation of the resolution referred to by the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 07 , 2015
sunita