

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8433 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27th July, 2015

Satyawan and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 07.07.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Sonipat has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Sarita and the accused persons namely Satyawan and Naveen, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute and in the light of contentions of the two sides that the parties after compromise are living together as husband

and wife would certainly go a long way in making their future lives better and remove the ill-will and hatred that had been created and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.98 dated 02.03.2015 registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat under Sections 307/323/328/498A/34 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 27, 2015
rps