

244

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8414 of 2015
Date of Decision: 11.05.2015**

Charanjit Singh and Ors.

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Amritpal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.211 dated 08.06.2005 registered under Sections 325, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on the basis of compromise.

This Court, on 30.03.2015 passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners states that date fixed before the trial Court is 10.04.2015.

Adjourned to 11.05.2015.

In the meanwhile, parties are directed to appear

before the trial Court the date fixed and the trial Court, after recording their statements, shall submit its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise vis-a-vis number of accused persons involved in this case and whether any of the accused has been declared proclaimed offender or not, on or before the adjourned date.”

In pursuance to the aforesaid order, both the parties appeared before the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-SDJM, Malout and got their statements recorded in which they have fully endorsed the factum of compromise and stated that the compromise in question is voluntarily executed and is free from all coercion and pressure of any kind.

Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-SDJM, Malout, vide his report dated 20.04.2015, also endorsed the factum of compromise and opined that the compromise in question is genuine, voluntary and is the outcome of volition and free will of the parties.

This Court is of the opinion that in view of compromise between the parties, chances of conviction of the accused are remote and there is minimal chance of the witnesses coming forward to depose in support of prosecution version. In view of remote chances of conviction, it would be appropriate to exercise discretionary power of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to put an end to the controversy for all times to come. The compromise would facilitate both the parties to live in peace and to maintain public tranquility and offence in question is personal in nature and does not

involve any heinous and serious offence of any mental depravity, nor it involves any offence covered under Prevention of Corruption Act. Therefore, when possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, continuation of criminal proceedings would put the accused to oppression and prejudice. In such a situation the exercise of power to quash the proceedings would be in consonance with the provisions of law to meet ends of justice and to prevent unnecessary continuation of proceedings which may ultimately result in some unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial.

The State on notice, however, object to the aforesaid course, but in order to prevent unnecessary continuation of criminal proceedings on the ground that there are bleak chances of conviction in the case, this Court is of the opinion that it would be in fitness of things to quash the proceedings on the basis of compromise.

Resultantly, FIR No.211 dated 08.06.2005 registered under Sections 325, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed.

May 11, 2015
SwarnjitS

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE