

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No.8386 of 2015
Date of decision: August 18, 2015**

Lakhwinder Singh **-----Petitioner (s)**
V/s

Satpal **-----Respondent(s)**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has expired and, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

August 18, 2015
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**