

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8302 of 2015  
Date of Decision: 20.05.2015

Mamta and another ....petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr. JP Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Bathal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SNEH PRASHAR, J.**

Learned State counsel has filed reply in the shape of affidavit of Mr. Shakir Hussain, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kanina, District Mohindergarh, has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short "Cr.P.C."), the petitioners pray for quashing of First Information Report No.22 dated 16.02.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 384 and 170 of the Indian Penal Code (in short "I.P.C.") registered at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarh and subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P2).

2. Vide order dated 06.04.2015, the parties were directed to appear

before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise. Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Narnaul, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and that they have voluntarily compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of the report of trial Court.

3. A perusal of allegations in the First Information Report reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and First Information Report No.22 dated 16.02.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 384 and 170 of the IPC registered at Police Station Ateli, District Mahendergarhand and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

**May 20, 2015**  
rashmi

**(SNEH PRASHAR)**  
**JUDGE**