

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.02.13 12:32
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRR No. 899 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 12.2.2015

Rashpinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dinker Mehra

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav G.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for,
Mr. A.R. Takkar, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the revisionist states that he has verified the factum of death of respondent. It is stated that since the respondent has died, therefore, the present revision has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the present revision is disposed of as infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.2.2015
sjks