

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-8203 of 2015
Date of Decision:-29.5.2015**

Gulshan Puri

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, DAG Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.14 dated 21.3.2012, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise dated 1.2.2015 (Annexure P-3).

Vide order dated 15.5.2015, this Court has again directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 20.5.2015 to get their statements recorded as earlier vide order dated 21.4.2015 they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 30.3.2015 but on account of ailment of complainant-respondent No.2, he could not appear before the said Court for getting his statement recorded. The learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the

genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 15.5.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 21.5.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report received from the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, reveals that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by his report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)**, this petition is accepted and FIR No.14 dated 21.3.2012, under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

May 29, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE