

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8104-2015
Date of decision:24.04.2015

Fateh Singh @ Juvraj Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Aakash Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Ramesh Chand Goyal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek quashing of impugned FIR No.20 dated 8.2.2014 registered under Sections 382 and 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Mataur, District Mohali.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned counsel for private respondents No. 2 to 4 also states that parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. Further, in compliance of the order dated 13.3.2015, learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mohali, has sent his report which also verifies the factum of compromise between the parties. However, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jagir Singh, Police Station, Mataur, submits that petitioners have been found involved in as many as nine other FIRs, details of which, read as under:-

- i) FIR No.19 dated 11.3.2014 registered under Sections 307, 325, 323, 341, 148, 149, 120-B and 411 IPC and Section 25(1) of the Arms Act, at Police Station Bathinda;
- ii) FIR No.39 dated 2.4.2014 registered under Sections 399, 402, 411, 412 and 473 IPC and Section 25(1) of the Arms Act, at Police Station Barnala;
- iii) FIR No.64 dated 19.3.2014 registered under Sections 307, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 25(1) of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Barnala;
- iv) FIR No.49 dated 27.3.2014 registered under Sections 307, 427 and 120-B IPC and Section 25, 54 and 59) of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Sunam;
- v) FIR No.29/13 registered under Sections 336 and 34 IPC and Section 25(1) of the Arms Act, at Police Station Hataur;
- vi) FIR No.08 dated 13.1.2014 registered under Sections 392 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Dayalpura;
- vii) FIR No.246 dated 17.9.2013 registered under Sections 307, 323, 325, 341, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Barnala;
- viii) FIR No.20 dated 8.2.2014 registered under Sections 382 and 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Mataur;
- ix) FIR No.104 dated 5.5.2014 registered under Sections 353, 186, 325, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station City Barnala.

In view of the above, the present one has not been found to be a fit case for quashing of the impugned FIR on the basis of compromise. It is

so said, because petitioners are frequently indulging in commission of heinous crimes against the society. In such a situation, invoking inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. would run against the interest of justice.

Keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of the case noticed hereinabove, the present petition is misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance. Thus, it must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Resultantly, with the above-said observations made, the instant petition stands dismissed.

24.04.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE