

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-8010-2015

Decided on : 10.04.2015

Sunil @ Kachri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.A.S.Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the 2nd bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.216, dated 02.06.2014, under Sections 392, 395, 506 and 120-B, IPC and 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehbad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the other co-accused namely Darshan @ Bittu and Dharambir @ Kala have been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-38170 of 2014 titled as Darshan @ Bittu Vs. State of Haryana and CRM-M-1826 of 2015 titled as Dharambir @ Kala Vs. State of Haryana vide order dated 17.11.2014 and 30.01.2015 respectively.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2014.

As per prosecution case, during investigation, name of the petitioner has been transpired from the disclosure statement suffered by the co-accused namely Dharambir @ Kala.

Considering the fact that the other co-accused have been admitted to bail by this Court and the petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2014, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Fatehabad.

It is made clear that nothing observed herein shall be construed as an expression on the merits of the present case and the learned trial Court shall consider the case on the basis of evidence and material as available before it.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

10.04.2015
sd