

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7992-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 17, 2015

Jagmeet Singh @ Tony

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order, dated 20.04.2015, passed by this Court, the petitioner did appear before learned trial Court and was admitted to ad interim bail vide order dated 16.07.2015 and thereafter the petitioner is regularly appearing before the Court below.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI

Sikander Singh of Police Station, Thermal, District Bathinda, has

not controverted the above submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, interim directions issued vide order dated 20.04.2015 are made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to appear before learned trial Court on each and every date of hearing unless ordered otherwise.

August 17, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE