

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7979-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 2.11.2015

Devi Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Joginder Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yogesh Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

It is a matter of record that petitioner has not availed his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition in case necessity arises. Further, as fairly stated by learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.1, if the petitioner files appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent No.1-complainant shall not oppose the revision petition on the issue of limitation.

Disposed of, accordingly.

2.11.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE